IB Science Internal Assessment Report Rubric

Criteria 1: Personal Engagement Mark /2				
Descriptors			Level	
The student's report does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.		0		
The evidence of personal engagement with the exploration isindependent thinking,limited with littleclear with significant				
The justification given for choosing the research question and/or the topic under investigationpersonal significance, interest or curiositydemonstrates		1 2		
There isof personal input and initiative in the designing, implementation or	little evidence	1		
presentation of the investigation.	evidence	2		

Criteria 2: Exploration	Mark /6	
	Descriptors	Level
The student's report does not reach a standard descr	ribed by the descriptors below.	0
Topic of investigation is identified and a research question that is	of some relevance is stated but it is not focused. relevant but not fully focused is describedrelevant and fully focused is clearly described.	1-2 3-4 5-6
The background information provided for the investigationthe understanding of the context of the investigation.	is superficial or of limited relevance and does not aidis mainly appropriate and relevant and aidsis entirely appropriate and relevant and enhances	1-2 3-4 5-6
The methodology of the investigation is appropriate to address the research questionthe significant factors that may influence the relevance, reliability and sufficiency of the collected data.	only to a very limited extent since it takes into consideration few ofmainly, but has limitations since it takes into consideration only some ofhighly, because it takes into consideration all, or nearly all, of	1-2 3-4 5-6
The report shows evidence ofawareness of the significant safety, ethical or environmental issues that are relevant to the methodology of the investigation.	limitedsomefull	1-2 3-4 5-6

Criteria 3: Analysis Mark /6			
	Descriptors	Le	vel
The student's report does not reach a stand	dard described by the descriptors below.	0	
The report includesconclusion to the research question.	insufficient relevant raw data to support a valid		
	relevant but incomplete quantitative and qualitative raw data that could support a simple or partially valid		
	sufficient relevant quantitative and qualitative raw data that could support a detailed and valid	5-6	
Appropriate and sufficient data processing is carried out	Some basic data processing is carried out but is either too inaccurate or too insufficient to lead to a valid conclusion.	1-2	
	that could lead to a broadly valid conclusion but there are significant inaccuracies and inconsistencies in the processing.	3-4	
	with the accuracy required to enable a conclusion to the RQ to be drawn that is fully consistent with the experimental data.	5-6	
The report shows evidence of	little	1-2	
consideration of the impact of measurement uncertainty on the analysis.	some	3-4	
	full and appropriate	5-6	
The processed datato the research	is incorrectly or insufficiently interpreted so that the conclusion is invalid or very incomplete.	1-2	
question can be deduced.	is interpreted so that a broadly valid but incomplete or limited conclusion	3-4	
	is correctly interpreted so that a completely valid and detailed conclusion	5-6	

Criteria 4: Evaluation Mark /6			
Descriptors			vel
The student's report does not reach a stand	dard described by the descriptors below.	0	
	is outlined which is not relevant to the RQ or is not supported by	1-2	
A conclusionthe data presented.	is described which is relevant to the research question and supported by	3-4	
	,detailed, is described and justified which is entirely relevant to the research question and fully supported by	5-6	
A conclusioncomparison to the	makes superficial	1-2	
	is described which makes some	3-4	
accepted scientific context.	is correctly described and justified through relevant	5-6	
Strengths and weaknesses of the investigation, such as limitations of the	outlined but are restricted to an account of the practical or procedural issues faced.	1-2	
data and sources of error, arethe methodological issues involved in establishing the conclusion.	described and provide evidence of some awareness of	3-4	
	discussed and provide evidence of a clear understanding of	5-6	
The student hasrealistic and relevant suggestions for the improvement	outlined very few	1-2	
	described some	3-4	
and extension of the investigation.	discussed	5-6	

Criteria 5: Communication Mark /4			
	Descriptors	Level	
The student's report does not reach	a standard described by the descriptors below.	0	
unclear, making it difficult to understand the focus, process and outcomes.		1-2	
The presentation of the investigation is	clear. Any errors do not hamper understanding of the focus, process and outcomes.	3-4	
The report is the necessary information on focus, process and	not well structured and is unclear:missing or is presented in an incoherent or disorganized way.	1-2	
outcomes is	well structured and clear:present and presented in a coherent way.	3-4	
the focus, process and outcomes	The understanding ofis obscured by the presence of inappropriate or irrelevant information.	1-2	
of the investigation	The report is relevant and concise thereby facilitating a ready understanding of	3-4	
The use of subject specific	includes many errors.	1-2	
terminology and conventions	is appropriate and correct. Any errors do not hamper understanding.	3-4	

Summary of Marks Achieved on IB Science Internal Assessment Report			
Data Management (DM)	Only Analysis Section	Mark	/6
Experimental Skills & Inquiry (ESI)	All sections except Analysis	Mark	/18
Total		Mark	/24